



THE IMPACT OF IDEOLOGY AND TECHNOLOGY ON TRANSLATION WITHIN THE CULTURE OF ENGLISH SPEECH

Ahmedov Azimjon Ilkhomovich

The teacher of Andijan State Institute of Foreign Languages

Mirfozilova Guloyim

The student of Andijan State Institute of Foreign Languages

Introduction

In an increasingly globalized world, translation plays a pivotal role in shaping intercultural communication. Particularly, the English language, as a global lingua franca, holds a powerful position in influencing how ideas and values are communicated across cultures. However, translation is far from a neutral act. It is inevitably influenced by both ideological forces and technological developments. This paper explores how ideology and technology affect translation practices within the context of English speech culture, focusing on how speech norms, politeness strategies, and tone are maintained or distorted in translation processes.

Ideology and Translation

Ideology refers to a system of beliefs, values, and worldviews that influence human behavior and communication. In translation, ideology can manifest in the choice of vocabulary, tone, or even what content is included or omitted. When translating into English, particularly for audiences in Western contexts, translators often face pressure—whether explicit or implicit—to conform to dominant ideological narratives.

For example, in political texts, certain words or expressions in the source language may carry ideologically charged meanings that are softened or reframed in English translations to align with Western values. This becomes especially apparent in news media, where translations may reflect ideological biases of the publishing platform, influencing how events and figures are portrayed.

Moreover, cultural expectations within English speech culture—such as directness, individualism, or egalitarian tone—can shape how translations are rendered. In many non-English cultures, indirectness or honorifics are vital to respectful speech. Translators must decide whether to preserve these features or adapt them to match English norms, often navigating ideological implications in the process.

Technology and Translation

Technological advancements have revolutionized the translation industry. Tools such as Google Translate, DeepL, and various CAT tools have enhanced speed, accessibility, and consistency in translation. However, these tools also introduce new challenges. While they are efficient in processing large volumes of text, they lack the cultural and ideological sensitivity of human translators.





MODERN PROBLEMS IN EDUCATION AND THEIR SCIENTIFIC SOLUTIONS

Machine translation often overlooks the subtleties of speech culture, such as humor, sarcasm, or politeness strategies. For instance, translating a polite Uzbek phrase like “Agar iloji bo‘lsa” into English as “If it is possible” might lose its nuance and seem overly formal or ambiguous. A human translator might choose a more context-appropriate equivalent like “If you don’t mind” or “Would it be okay,” depending on the setting.

Furthermore, many machine translation engines are trained on large English-centric corpora, meaning that they inherently prioritize English norms and ideologies. This can lead to what scholars call “ideological flattening,” where culturally specific expressions are translated into ideologically dominant equivalents, erasing diversity of thought and expression.

The Intersection of Ideology, Technology, and English Speech Culture

The intersection of ideology and technology becomes particularly significant when considering the norms of English speech culture. English, especially in academic, diplomatic, and business contexts, favors clarity, directness, and a relatively informal yet respectful tone. This normativity can create tension when translating from languages that favor more hierarchical or indirect speech patterns.

Translators using technology must therefore act as cultural mediators. For example, when translating a formal letter from Uzbek to English, a machine might fail to recognize the cultural weight of certain phrases, offering a translation that sounds either too stiff or too casual. The translator must interpret not only the words but also the speaker’s intention and the target culture’s expectations.

Ideologically, translations into English are often framed through the lens of Western liberal values such as freedom of speech, gender equality, or secularism. While these values are important, their dominance can overshadow or even marginalize alternative worldviews during the translation process. Translators must remain aware of this influence and strive to preserve the cultural integrity of the source text.

Conclusion

In conclusion, translation within the context of English speech culture is deeply affected by both ideological frameworks and technological tools. Ideology influences not only what is translated but how it is framed, while technology, although useful, often fails to capture the nuances of speech culture and ideological context. As English continues to serve as a global medium, translators must adopt a critically reflective approach—balancing fidelity to the source text with sensitivity to both cultural and ideological factors. Ethical, culturally aware translation practices are essential in preserving the richness and diversity of global communication.





REFERENCES

1. Baker, M. (2018). In Other Words: A Coursebook on Translation (3rd ed.). Routledge.
2. Schäffner, C. (2012). Translation and Ideology: A Theoretical Framework. Routledge.
3. Venuti, L. (2017). The Translator's Invisibility: A History of Translation. Routledge.
4. Pym, A. (2014). The Translator's Invisibility: A History of Translation. Routledge
5. De Swaan, A. (2001). Words of the World: The Global Language System. Polity.
6. Nord, C. (2005). Text Analysis in Translation: Theory, Methodology, and Didactic Application of a Model for Translation-Oriented Text Analysis. Rodopi.
7. O'Hagan, M., & Ashworth, D. (2002). Translation-Mediated Communication in a Digital World: Facing the Challenges of Globalization and Localization. John Benjamins Publishing Company.
8. Munday, J. (2016). Introducing Translation Studies: Theories and Applications (4th ed.). Routledge.
9. Zlateva, P. (2008). Language and Culture in Translation. Nova Science Publishers.
10. Bowker, L., & Pearson, J. (2002). Machine Translation and Translation Memory: The Impact of Technology on Translation. Routledge.

