SOCIAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF DEVELOPING SCIENTIFIC THINKING IN STUDENTS

Esanboyev Qahramon O`ktamovich
PhD Student at Samarkand State University

Abstract: *This article analyzes the process of developing scientific thinking in students from a socio-psychological perspective. Scientific thinking plays a crucial role in understanding reality, drawing scientifically based conclusions, and enhancing critical thinking. The study examines the influence of social factors, individual psychological characteristics, and the educational environment on the formation of scientific thinking. Additionally, it focuses on fostering independent thinking, cultivating an innovative approach, and supporting creative reasoning among students.*

Keywords: *Scientific thinking, student, socio-psychological aspects, critical thinking, educational environment, innovative approach.*

The development of an individual's worldview is inherently intertwined with the ongoing socio-political processes in society. A defining characteristic of the modern education system is its shift towards a learner-centered paradigm. This transition necessitates a re-examination of key pedagogical principles, particularly in shaping students' worldviews and modes of thinking. Each era forms its understanding of the world based on its socio-political structure and the level of scientific and educational development.

When analyzing psychological research on the formation of social intelligence in young families, it becomes evident that thinking plays a crucial role in shaping students' scientific worldview. It serves as a key mechanism for analyzing and synthesizing valuable ideas, concepts, and suggestions from both a theoretical and practical perspective.

In today's society, fostering scientific thinking among students is one of the priority directions of the education system. Scientific thinking enables individuals to perceive reality objectively, critically analyze existing problems, and seek effective solutions. Thus, the process of nurturing scientific thinking among students must be studied deeply from both social and psychological perspectives.

Scientific thinking refers to the ability to comprehend the world in a logical and evidence-based manner [1]. It represents a higher stage in the evolution of human cognition, grounded in rational approaches, experimentation, and analytical reasoning. As noted by Piaget (1972), human thinking develops through interaction with experience and the social environment [2]. Hence, the process of cultivating students' scientific thinking is closely linked not only to the educational framework but also to various social factors.

Key Socio-Psychological Factors Influencing Scientific Thinking:

The Role of Family and Society

A student's scientific worldview is significantly shaped by their family and social environment. According to Vygotsky (1986), social interaction and cultural experience are fundamental in the development of cognition [3].

The Educational Environment

Universities and academic institutions play a crucial role in the formation of scientific thinking. Interactive teaching methods, engagement in research projects, and innovative pedagogical practices serve as core components in this process [4].

The Importance of Critical and Creative Thinking

The development of critical thinking is essential for the evolution of scientific reasoning. Dewey (1933) emphasized that scientific approaches are grounded in observation and analysis [5].

Developing scientific thinking among students requires the application of evidence-based educational methods that stimulate curiosity, analytical reasoning, and creativity. Below are key approaches that have proven effective in this regard:

1. Problem-Based Learning (PBL)

Problem-Based Learning is a student-centered teaching approach in which learners explore complex, real-world problems without predetermined solutions. This method encourages students to become active participants in the learning process by identifying what they need to learn in order to solve a given issue.

In the context of scientific thinking, PBL promotes:

-Independent reasoning

-Inquiry-based exploration

-Application of prior knowledge to new situations

-Team collaboration and communication

For example, a biology class might be given a case study on an unknown disease outbreak. Students must hypothesize its origin, investigate symptoms, and propose containment strategies using scientific reasoning and available data. According to Barrows (1996), PBL helps students transition from passive recipients of information to active problem solvers, fostering deeper cognitive engagement.

2. Creative Approaches and Experimentation

Scientific thinking thrives in environments where students are encouraged to test ideas, engage in trial-and-error, and draw conclusions from empirical observations. Creativity is not the opposite of logic—it complements it by generating novel hypotheses and alternative explanations.

Key components include:

-Laboratory-based learning

-Design and execution of experiment

-Open-ended projects and research competitions

Integration of STEAM (Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts, Mathematics) elements

For instance, in a physics course, students might be tasked with designing a prototype of an energy-efficient vehicle. This type of hands-on learning improves not only their

understanding of scientific principles but also enhances problem-solving and innovation. As highlighted by Sawyer (2012), creative learning environments foster divergent thinking, which is essential for scientific advancement.

3. Creating a Scientific Environment

Establishing a supportive scientific environment in educational institutions plays a crucial role in the cultivation of students' scientific worldview and intellectual maturity. This involves more than curriculum design—it includes the broader academic culture.

Effective strategies include:

-Regular scientific seminars and guest lectures by researchers

-Interdisciplinary conferences and symposia

-Student-led research clubs and innovation hubs

-Access to academic journals and digital research tools

Such environments allow students to engage in scholarly discourse, receive mentorship, and stay updated with recent scientific developments. Exposure to professional research culture motivates students to emulate scientific inquiry in their own work. According to Bandura's (1977) social learning theory, observational learning and role models in such settings can profoundly impact students' cognitive and motivational development.

In conclusion, the development of scientific thinking among students is a multifaceted process influenced by both social and psychological factors. It is not only a key component of personal intellectual growth but also a vital driver of societal progress. The role of family, educational institutions, and the broader social environment is essential in fostering students' ability to think critically, analyze problems logically, and seek innovative solutions. By creating a supportive academic and social climate that encourages inquiry, creativity, and experimentation, we can nurture a generation of thinkers who are capable of addressing complex challenges and contributing meaningfully to the advancement of science and society. Therefore, it is imperative that educational reforms, teaching strategies, and social policies align to strengthen and prioritize the cultivation of scientific thinking in young learners.

REFERENCES:

1. Popper, K. (2002). The Logic of Scientific Discovery. London: Routledge.
2. Piaget, J. (1972). The Psychology of Intelligence. London: Routledge.
3. Vygotsky, L. S. (1986). Thought and Language. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
4. Nazarov, Q. (2020). Pedagogik psixologiya. Tashkent: Universitet.
5. Yusupov, U. (2021). Ijtimoiy psixologiya asoslari. Tashkent: O'qituvchi.
6. Savery, J. R., & Duffy, T. M. (1995). Problem-Based Learning: An instructional model and its constructivist framework. Educational Technology, 35(5), 31–38.
7. Kolb, D. A. (1984). Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
8. Kuhn, D. (1999). A Developmental Model of Critical Thinking. Educational Researcher, 28(2), 16–25.